1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## NOT FOR CITATION

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **EUREKA DIVISION**

LINO NSHIMBA,

No. CV 10-2982 RS (NJV)

Plaintiff,

ORDER REOUIRING PARTIES TO MEET AND CONFER RE: PENDING DISCOVERY DISPUTE

V.

(Docket No. 23)

LOWE'S HOME IMPROVEMENT WAREHOUSE, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

The district court has referred Defend

The district court has referred Defendant Lowe's Home Improvement Warehouse, Inc.'s motion to compel and all future discovery disputes to this Court for determination. Doc. No. 26. On May 19, 2011, the Court ordered counsel for all parties to engage in an in-person meet and confer by June 3, 2011 regarding the pending discovery dispute. On June 2, 2011, counsel for Defendant informed the Court that the parties are unable to engage in an in-person meet and confer by June 3, 2011, due in part to the unavailability of Plaintiff's counsel through June 15, 2011. It does not appear that the parties attempted to schedule the required in-person meet and confer until June 1, 2011.

The Court ORDERS counsel for all parties to engage in the previously ordered in-person meet and confer regarding the pending discovery dispute by close of business <u>June 20, 2011</u>. Given counsel's location in San Francisco and Los Angeles, counsel may participate by telephone.

Counsel shall engage in a meaningful meet and confer regarding outstanding discovery requests and

# Case 3:10-cv-02982-RS Document 34 Filed 06/03/11 Page 2 of 2

the pending discovery dispute. After the in-person meet and confer, the parties shall file a single joint letter to the Court informing the Court of the status of the in-person meet and confer. If the parties are unable to resolve their discovery disputes after the in-person meet and confer, the single joint letter to the Court should succinctly set forth the parties' positions on each disputed issue. The joint letter must be filed by close of business <u>June 23, 2011</u>. If the parties do not comply with the Court's order, the hearing currently scheduled for June 28, 2011 will be vacated and continued.

Per this Court's standing order, for future discovery-related motions, counsel must deliver two (2) chambers copies of all filings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 3, 2011

NANDOR J. VADAS

United States Magistrate Judge